International Journal of Education and Human Developments, Vol. 6 No. 1; April 2020 ISSN 2415-1270 (Online), ISSN 2415-1424 (Print) Published by Center for Global Research Development

Critical Thinking In Higher Education

Mak Khojasteh

Professor of management Winston Salem State University United States of America

Kal Namit

Professor of business and economics Winston Salem State University United States of America

Abstract

Introduction

Critical Thinking-CT plays a crucial role in all facets of life. Business education is no exception. There are evidences that educators in business outlined the importance of teaching CT skills in higher education Wang, X. et al, 2016; Heijltjes, A. et al, 2015; The objective of this article is to contribute in incorporating teaching CT in higher education.

- I- Definition of Critical Thinking
- II- Importance of Critical Thinking in Higher Education
- III- Disposition of Critical Thinking

I- Definition of Critical Thinking

There are numerous definitions of Critical Thinking-CT in current literature. As Flores K. L. et al, 2012 indicated "the concept of critical thinking is too complex to be limited to a narrowly defined construct".

There are two categories of CT. One is the kind of CT approached in higher education has a strong focus on formal knowledge within the boundaries of a disciplinary, to abstract logical argument in a search of greater truth. This is known as "logicism" which implies that good thinking is logical thinking. (Walters, 1994). In logicism approach, Critical Thinking is utilization of cognitive skills to increase the probability of a desirable outcome.(Halpern, 1999).

Logical CT is a goal-directed, reasoned thinking that strives to solve problems, formulating inferences and making decisions when the thinker is applying skills that are effective for a particular context. (Halpern, 1996). The other category of CT has a broader, more comprehensive approach which goes beyond the constraints of "logicism" to incorporate a holistic approach such as imagination (Gallo, 1994).

Others defined CT as "reasonable and reflective thinking that is focused on deciding what to believe or do" (Ennis 1987). Scriven & Paul (1992) view CT as the intellectual disciplined and rigorous process of actively and skillfully conceptualizing, applying, synthesizing, and evaluating ideas and information by a process of observation, experience, reflection, reasoning, or communication and utilizing these information as a guideline to one's belief and behavior.

Critical thinking can also be defined as, "Purposeful, Self-regulatory judgement which results in interpretation, analysis, evaluation, and inferences, as well as explanation of the evidential, conceptual, methodological, criteriological or contextual considerations upon which the judgment is based." (Facione, 1990).

The authors define Critical Thinking as questioning the accepted assumptions, theories, and statements with an independent- mindedness and courage in an objective manner to synthesize new discoveries.

II- Importance of Critical Thinking in Higher Education

The importance of critical thinking in higher education has been well established. Heijltjes, A. et al 2015; Behar-Horenstein, L. S. et al 2015; Huber, C. R. et al 2016.

Critical thinking can be traced back 2,500 years ago to Socrates (Sampson, D. C. et al.2007). The importance of CT skills in education is emphasized by educational philosophers (Siegel,1980). Halpern,1988, stated that the promotion of CT is one of the priorities of higher education.

The drastic and unprecedented change in technology and workplace created a need for critical thinking more than ever before (Halpern, 1999); Therefore, "the development of critical thinking is often put forward as an ideal of higher education" (Walker&Finney,1999). The Carnegie Council on Adolescent Development in 1989 concluded there is no reason that young adolescents cannot engage in critical and higher order thinking. The US Congress through the Goals 2000: Educate America Act of 1990, established five national educational goals; One of these goals called for increase in a number of college graduates who can think critically and be effective in problem solving (Ratcliff, 19993). Individual scholars also express the need for training in critical thinking skill in higher Education (Rickman, 1981). Others discussed the importance of preparing college students who are able to synthesize and think effectively. Nevertheless, the institutions of higher education continue to graduate students who cannot think "in straight line; and who cannot evaluate the interior logic of an irrational argument...." (Lincoln 1999). Factors such as technology, workforce, and global competition requires Critical Thinking to be incorporated in the education system. The movement is not limited to the U.S., other countries such as Canada also placed an emphasis on education models that produce students who are able to think critically (Wright,1996).

III. Dispositions of Critical Thinking

It seems that CT has a set of characteristics and dispositions. Review of current literature ; reveal the following as some of these characteristics: (keeley et al.,1995; Browne&freeman,2000 and Garside, C. 1996).

- 1- Motivation to think critically. Developing critical thinking is not solely dependent on the professor's aptitude. Motivation of the learner to think critically plays a major role. The joy of discovery and learning is the reward that reinforces further motivation.
- 2- Desire for discovery. Willingness to consider novel approaches to a specific issue is another factor in CT
- 3- Curious mindset. Curious mindset is also a prerequisite for CT, and ensures pursuit of discovery.
- 4- Knowledge of subject. In depth knowledge of the subject provides the professor to evaluate and assess the issue from variety of directions needed for critical thinking
- 5- Valuing Change. Status quo is counterproductive to discovery and CT.
- 6- Tolerance for ambiguity. Tolerance for ambiguity which leads to discomfort and insecurity helps the learner better absorb the wisdom of experts in the field.
- 7- Flexible mental commitment. Rigidity of mindset prevent learner from mental flexibility required in CT.
- 8- Controlled Skepticism. Controlled skepticism focused on obtaining sufficient reasoning to accept an idea is another key components of CT. Garside, C. 1996.
- 9- Willingness to suspend judgments. Quickness in developing an idea prevents the learner from evaluating alternatives leading to tunnel vision which is counter productive to CT.
- 10- Inquisitiveness. Inquisitiveness which is referred to evaluation or investigation of accepted assumption is the beginning of the path to CT

References

- Behar-Horenstein, Linda S., Niu, Lian, Teaching Critical Thinking Skills in Higher Education: Review of the Literature. Journal of college Teaching and Learning. Littleton Vol. 8. Iss 2, Feb. 2011: pp.25-41
- Browne, M.N; and Freeman K.(2000) Distinguishing Feature of critical Thinking Classrooms. Teaching in Higher Education, Vol. 5, No., 3 pp. 301-309.
- Candy, Philip C. (1991). Self-Direction for Lifelong Learning. San Francisco: Jossev-Bass
- Critical Thinking Ability and Disposition as Factors of Performance on a Written Critical Thinking Test: Kurt T. Taube
- The Journal of General Education
- Vol. 46, No. 2 (1997), pp. 129-164
- Ennis, R. (1987) A Taxonomy of Critical Thinking Dispositions and Abilities, in: J. Baron &R. Sternberg(Eds.) Teaching Thinking Skills: Theory and Practice, pp. 9-26 (New York, W. H. Freeman).
- Facione, Peter A. "The Delphi Report." California Academic Press, Santa Clara University, 1990, www.qcc.cuny.edu/SocialSciences/ppecorino/CT-Expert-Report.pdf.
- Flores, Kevin L., Matkin, Gina S., Burbach, Mark E., Quinn, Courtney E. and Harding, Heath. Deficient Critical Thinking Skills among College Students: Implication for Leadership. Educational Philosophy and Theory. Vol. 44, Issue, 2, March 2012, PP. 212-230
- Gallo, D.(1994) Educating for Empathy, Reason, and Imagination, in: K.S. Walters(Ed.) Re-thinking Reason; New Perspectives in Critical Thinking, pp. 43-60(Albany, State University of New York Press).
- Garside, C. (1996) Look Who's Talking: A Comparison of Lecturing and Group Discussion Teaching Strategies in Developing Critical Thinking Skills, Communication Education, 45,pp. 212-227.
- Halpern, D.F. (1988) a Assessing Student Outcomes for Psychology Majors, teaching of Psychology, 26, 206-208.
- Halpern, D.F. (1996). b Thought and Knowledge: an Introduction to Critical Thinking (Mahwah, Lawrence Erlbaum).
- Halpern, D. F. (1999). c Ibid
- Halpern, D.F. 1999. d Teaching for Critical Thinking: Helping College Students Develop the Skills and Dispositions of a Critical Thinking. New Direction For Teaching And Learning, no. 80, Winter 1999. Jossey-Bass Publisher.
- Heijltjes, Anita; Von Gog, Tamara; Leppink, Jimmie; Paas, Fred. Unraveling the Effect of critical Thinking Instructions, Practices, and Self-explanation on Students' Reasoning Performance. Instructional Science. Vol. 43, iss. 4, pp 487-506, July 2015.
- Huber, Christopher R. and Kuncel, Nathan R. Does College Teach Critical Thinking? A Meta-Analysis. Review of Educational Research. June 1, 2016
- Keeley, S. M., Shemberge, K.M., Cowell, B.S. & Zinnbauer, B.J. (1995) Coping with the Student Resistance to Critical Thinking: What the Psychotherapy Literature Can Tell Us, College Teaching 43, pp. 140-145.
- Kereber, Carolin. (1998) The relationships between self-directed learning, critical thinking, and psychological type, and some implications for teaching in higher education. Studies in Higher Education. Vol. 23, issue 1. PP. 71-86
- Lincoln, Y. (1999). Toward the Postmodern University: Landgrant in the New Millinnium, The 1998-1999 Faculty Lecture University Distinguished Lecture Series, Texas A&M University.
- National Center for Post secondary Teaching, Learning and Assesseement, The Pennsylvania State University, University park).
- Ratcliff, J. (1993) Achieving National Education Goal 5.5 Within the Framework of the Goals 2000 Program (PA, Rickman, H. (1981) For Want of Thought, Oxford Review of Education, 7,pp. 273-281.
- Sampson, D.C.; Moore, R. and Jackson, M.J. 2007 Critical Thinking: Do they Really Have "it" If They Don't Know What "it" is? Allied Academies International Conference, Academy of Educational leadership. Proceedings. Cullowhee: Vol. 12, iss1, p.47
- Scriven, M. & Paul, R.W. (1992) Defining Critical Thinking, A paper presented at the 12th International Conference on Critical Thinking and Education Reform, Sonoma State University, rhonert Park, CA.
- Siegel, H. (1980) Critical Thinking as an Education Idea. The Education Forum, 45, pp.7-23.

- Walker, P. and Finny, N. Skill Development and Critical Thinking in higher Education. Teacher in Higher Education; October 1999. Vol. 4 issue4, pp1-13
- Walters, K.S.(Ed.) 1994. Re-thinking Reason: New Perspectives in Critical Thinking. SUNY Series, Teacher Empowerment and School Reform (Albany, State University of New York press).
- Wang, Xiaoli; Zheng, Huibin. Reasoning Critical Thinking: Is It Born or Made? Theory and Practice in Language Studies; London Vol. 6. Iss.6, June 2016: 1323-1331
- Wright, I. (1996) Using the Social Studies Textbook to Teach Critical Thinking. Canadian Social Studies, 30(2), pp. 68-71.